

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/686,684 | Applicant(s) CRAFTON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Ing-Hour Lin | Art Unit 1725 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ing-Hour Lin.

(2) Scott Sudderth.

Status of Application: Examiner's amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2007

Time: 11:50 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

67-68, 72, 76-79, 84-102 and 105-107

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney agreed that claims 67 and 72 were amended and claims 68, 76-79, 84-102 and 105-107 were cancelled. However, attorney reserved the right to file divisional application.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

| | |
|--|--|
|  _____ (Examiner/SPE Signature) | _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) |
|--|--|